

1. Binomial random variable.

Note: X , number of customers turning right, is binomial with $n = 12$ and $p = .90$.

(a) $P(X = 10) = P(X \leq 10) - P(X \leq 9) = .3410 - .1109 = .2301$

(b) $P(X < 7) = P(X \leq 6) = .0005$

(c) $P(X > 5) = 1 - P(X \leq 5) = 1 - .0001 = .9999$

2. Numerical summary of data.

Note: $\sum x = 14.61$; $\sum x^2 = 43.9127$; $n = 5$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{14.61}{5} = 2.922$$

$$s = +\sqrt{\frac{\sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2/n}{n-1}} = +\sqrt{\frac{43.9127 - (14.61)^2/5}{5-1}} = 0.553$$

3. Inference about mean.

$H_0: \mu = 649.99$ vs. $H_a: \mu < 649.99$

$$t^* = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{643.39 - 649.99}{17.71/\sqrt{60}} = -2.887$$

$$-t_{.005, 60-1} = -2.662 > t^* = -2.887 > -t_{.0025, 60-1} = -2.916$$

$$.0025 < p\text{-value} < .005$$

Reject H_0 at $\alpha = .05$. ($\mu < 649.99$)

4. Probability.

A : {health conscious}

B : {organic vegetable}

Note: $P(A) = .67$; $P(B) = .42$; $P(A \cap B) = .31$

(a) $P(B|A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)} = \frac{.31}{.67} = .463$

(b) $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) = .67 + .42 - .31 = .780$

(c) $P(A \cap B) = .31$ but $P(A)P(B) = (.67)(.42) = .281$

\Rightarrow Two events are not independent.

5. Inference about proportion.

(a) $\hat{p} = 76/271 = .280$

$$\hat{p} \pm z_{.01/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}; .280 \pm 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{(.280)(1-.280)}{271}}; .280 \pm .070; (.210, .350)$$

(b) Use $\hat{p} = .280$.

$$n = \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}) z_{.10/2}^2}{m^2} = \frac{.280(1-.280)(1.645)^2}{(.05)^2} = 218.21 \Rightarrow 219$$

6. Normal random variable.

Note: X , scale reading, is normal with $\mu = 100.32$ and $\sigma = 0.04$.

$$(a) P(X < 100.35) = P\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} < \frac{100.35 - 100.32}{0.04}\right) = P(Z < 0.75) = .7734$$

$$(b) z = -0.25 \therefore P(Z \leq -0.25) \approx .40$$

$$z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}; -0.25 = \frac{x - 100.32}{0.04}; x = 100.32 + (-0.25)(0.04) = 100.31$$

7. Experimental design.

An example of a study in which two explanatory variables both affect the response variable and, hence, the true cause of the response is unclear.

8. Chi-square test of independence.

H_0 : Sodium content and price are independent.

H_a : Sodium content and price are not independent.

Observed and marginal counts:	11	24	35
	39	29	68
	50	53	103

$$\widehat{n}_{ij} = (n_{i.})(n_{.j})/n$$

$$\widehat{n}_{11} = (35)(50)/103 = 16.99 \quad \widehat{n}_{12} = (35)(53)/103 = 18.01$$

$$\widehat{n}_{21} = (68)(50)/103 = 33.01 \quad \widehat{n}_{22} = (68)(53)/103 = 34.99$$

$$h^* = \sum_i \sum_j \frac{(n_{ij} - \widehat{n}_{ij})^2}{\widehat{n}_{ij}}$$

$$= \frac{(11 - 16.99)^2}{16.99} + \frac{(24 - 18.01)^2}{18.01} + \frac{(39 - 33.01)^2}{33.01} + \frac{(29 - 34.99)^2}{34.99} = 6.217$$

$$h_{.025, (2-1)(2-1)} = 5.024 < h^* = 6.217 < h_{.010, (2-1)(2-1)} = 6.635$$

$$.010 < p\text{-value} < .025$$

Reject H_0 at $\alpha = .10$.

(Sodium content and price have an inverse relationship.)

9. Paired-samples t test by SPSS.

$H_0: \mu_\delta = 0$ vs. $H_a: \mu_\delta > 0$ (“respondent’s height” minus “father’s height”)

$$t^* = 1.372$$

$$\text{one-sided } p\text{-value} = .189 \div 2 = .0945$$

Retain H_0 at $\alpha = .05$.

Insufficient evidence to conclude that the mean height for the respondents is significantly higher than that for their fathers.