

1. Inference about mean.

$$(a) \bar{x} \pm t_{.10/2, 43-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}; 1.91 \pm 1.682 \frac{0.07}{\sqrt{43}}; 1.91 \pm .018; (1.892, 1.928)$$

$$(b) n = \frac{4s^2}{m^2} = \frac{4(0.07)^2}{(0.015)^2} = 87.11 \Rightarrow 88$$

2. Numerical summary of data.

$$\text{Note: } \sum x = 106; \sum x^2 = 1976; n = 6$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{106}{6} = 17.667$$

$$s = +\sqrt{\frac{\sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2/n}{n-1}} = +\sqrt{\frac{1976 - (106)^2/6}{6-1}} = 4.546$$

3. Inference about proportion.

$$H_0: p = .25 \text{ vs. } H_a: p > .25$$

$$\hat{p} = 131/458 = .286$$

$$z^* = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1-p_0)/n}} = \frac{.286 - .25}{\sqrt{(.25)(1-.25)/458}} = 1.781$$

$$p\text{-value} = P(Z \geq 1.78) = 1 - P(Z < 1.78) = 1 - .9625 = .0375$$

Reject H_0 at $\alpha = .10$. ($p > .25$)

4. Binomial random variable.

Note: X , number of red lights, is binomial with $n = 7$ and $p = .60$.

$$(a) \mu = np = (7)(.60) = 4.20$$

$$(b) P(X = 3) = P(X \leq 3) - P(X \leq 2) = .2898 - .0963 = .1935$$

$$(c) P(X > 5) = 1 - P(X \leq 5) = 1 - .8414 = .1586$$

5. Probability.

A : {Selected customer is a woman}

B : {Selected customer uses self-checkout lane}

Note: $P(A) = .68$; $P(B) = .31$; $P(A \cap B) = .18$

$$(a) P(B|A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)} = \frac{.18}{.68} = .265$$

$$(b) P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) = .68 + .31 - .18 = .81$$

$$(c) P(A \cap B) = .18 \text{ but } P(A)P(B) = (.68)(.31) = .211 \\ \Rightarrow \text{Two events are not independent.}$$

6. Normal random variable.

Note: X , aptitude test score, is normal with $\mu = 650$ and $\sigma = 100$.

$$(a) P(X < 525) = P\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} < \frac{525 - 650}{100}\right) = P(Z < -1.25) = .1056$$

$$(b) z = 0.39 \quad \therefore P(Z \leq 0.39) \approx .65$$

$$z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}; 0.39 = \frac{x - 650}{100}; x = 650 + (0.39)(100) = 689$$

7. Probability.

$$P(\text{at least one revocation}) = 1 - P(\text{all no revocation}) = 1 - (.87)^4 = .427$$

8. Chi-square test of independence.

H_0 : Worker type and future plan are independent.

H_a : Worker type and future plan are not independent.

Observed and marginal counts:	75	24	99
	43	23	66
	118	47	165

$$\hat{n}_{ij} = (n_{i.})(n_{.j})/n$$

$$\hat{n}_{11} = (99)(118)/165 = 70.8 \quad \hat{n}_{12} = (99)(47)/165 = 28.2$$

$$\hat{n}_{21} = (66)(118)/165 = 47.2 \quad \hat{n}_{22} = (66)(47)/165 = 18.8$$

$$h^* = \sum_i \sum_j \frac{(n_{ij} - \hat{n}_{ij})^2}{\hat{n}_{ij}}$$

$$= \frac{(75 - 70.8)^2}{70.8} + \frac{(24 - 28.2)^2}{28.2} + \frac{(43 - 47.2)^2}{47.2} + \frac{(23 - 18.8)^2}{18.8} = 2.187$$

$$h_{.15, (2-1)(2-1)} = 2.072 < h^* = 2.187 < h_{.10, (2-1)(2-1)} = 2.706$$

$$.10 < p\text{-value} < .15$$

Retain H_0 at $\alpha = .01$.

(Insufficient evidence to conclude that future plan depends on worker type.)

9. Independent-samples t test by SPSS.

$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$ vs. $H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$ (1 = "morning"; 2 = "afternoon")

$t^* = 2.183$ (equal variances not assumed)

one-sided p -value = $.047 \div 2 = .0235$

Reject H_0 at $\alpha = .05$.

The mean score for the morning group is significantly higher than the mean score for the afternoon group.