

1. Binomial random variable.

- (a) $P(X = 5) = P(X \leq 5) - P(X \leq 4) = .8338 - .6331 = .2007$
- (b) $P(X > 2) = 1 - P(X \leq 2) = 1 - .5256 = .4744$
- (c) $\mu = np = (10)(.30) = 3.0$

2. Skewness. Standardized score.

- (a) The distribution of the data is negatively skewed (mean < median).
- (b) $\mu_{\text{new}} = 71.3$ (10 points higher)
 $\sigma_{\text{new}} = 14.1$ (no change)

3. Inference about mean.

$$H_0: \mu = 13.50 \text{ vs. } H_a: \mu \neq 13.50$$

$$t^* = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{13.52 - 13.50}{0.08/\sqrt{50}} = 1.768$$

$$t_{.05, 50-1} = 1.677 < |t^*| = 1.768 < t_{.025, 50-1} = 2.010$$

$$.05 < p\text{-value} < .10$$

Retain H_0 at $\alpha = .01$. ($\mu \approx 13.50$)

4. Inference about proportion.

$$(a) \hat{p} = 229/638 = .359$$

$$\hat{p} \pm z_{.01/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}; .359 \pm 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{(.359)(1-.359)}{638}}; .359 \pm .049; (.310, .408)$$

$$(b) \text{ Use } \hat{p} = .359.$$

$$n = \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}) z_{.05/2}^2}{m^2} = \frac{.359(1-.359)(1.960)^2}{(.04)^2} = 552.52 \Rightarrow 553$$

5. Probability.

A: {Have exceptionally high GPA}

B: {Plan on going to graduate school}

Note: $P(A) = .45$; $P(B) = .65$; $P(A \cap B) = .35$

$$(a) P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{.35}{.65} = .538$$

$$(b) P(A \cap B) = .35 \text{ but } P(A)P(B) = (.45)(.65) = .293 \\ \Rightarrow \text{Two events are not independent.}$$

$$(c) P(\text{at least one graduate school}) = 1 - P(\text{no graduate school}) = 1 - (.35)^3 = .957$$

6. Sampling distribution of mean.

Note: X , price of steak cut, is normal with $\mu = 9.50$ and $\sigma = 0.35$. Thus, \bar{X} , mean price, is normal with $\mu_{\bar{X}} = \mu = 9.50$ and $\sigma_{\bar{X}} = \sigma/\sqrt{n} = 0.35/\sqrt{20}$.

$$(a) P(X > 9.75) = P\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} > \frac{9.75 - 9.50}{0.35}\right) = P(Z > 0.71) \\ = 1 - P(Z \leq 0.71) = 1 - .7611 = .2389$$

$$(b) P(\bar{X} < 9.40) = P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < \frac{9.40 - 9.50}{0.35/\sqrt{20}}\right) = P(Z < -1.28) = .1003$$

7. Chi-square test of homogeneity.

H_0 : Relative proportions of status are homogeneous at two schools.

H_a : Relative proportions of status are not homogeneous at two schools.

Observed and marginal counts:	941	9	950
	859	21	880
	1800	30	1830

$$\widehat{n}_{ij} = (n_{i.})(n_{.j})/n$$

$$\widehat{n}_{11} = (950)(1800)/1830 = 934.426 \quad \widehat{n}_{12} = (950)(30)/1830 = 15.574$$

$$\widehat{n}_{21} = (880)(1800)/1830 = 865.574 \quad \widehat{n}_{22} = (880)(30)/1830 = 14.426$$

$$h^* = \sum_i \sum_j \frac{(n_{ij} - \widehat{n}_{ij})^2}{\widehat{n}_{ij}} \\ = \frac{(941 - 934.426)^2}{934.426} + \frac{(9 - 15.574)^2}{15.574} + \frac{(859 - 865.574)^2}{865.574} + \frac{(21 - 14.426)^2}{14.426} = 5.867$$

$$h_{.025, (2-1)(2-1)} = 5.024 < h^* = 5.867 < h_{.01, (2-1)(2-1)} = 6.635$$

$$.01 < p\text{-value} < .025$$

Reject H_0 at $\alpha = .05$.

(Proportion of non-traditional students is significantly higher at school B.)

8. Experimental design.

An example of a study in which two explanatory variables both affect the response variable and, hence, the true cause of the response is unclear.

9. Paired-samples t test by SPSS.

$H_0: \mu_\delta = 0$ vs. $H_a: \mu_\delta \neq 0$ ("father's" minus "mother's")

$$t^* = -0.377$$

$$\text{two-sided } p\text{-value} = .716$$

Retain H_0 at $\alpha = .05$.

Insufficient evidence to conclude that fathers and mothers have had different amounts of education.